



Notice is hereby given that on Monday, July 22, 2024, the Board of Directors of Tarrant Appraisal District will meet in open session beginning at 8:30 a.m. at the Arlington ISD Administration Building, 690 E Lamar Blvd, Arlington, Texas

This meeting and supporting material will be available at www.tad.org and TAD's YouTube and Facebook channels

AMENDED AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Verify Presence of Quorum and Posting of Meeting Notice**
- 3. Pledges of Allegiance**
- 4. Invocation**
- 5. Recognize Visitors; Hear Public Comments**
- 6. Chief Appraiser Report**
 - Discussion of 2024 Appraisal Roll Certification Status
- 7. Action Items**
 - a. Action regarding potential amendment to managed print service agreement with Xerox to include an updated lease for new printer/copier equipment
 - b. Action regarding permission for Chief Appraiser to enter into an Interlocal Agreement with Tarrant County and Tarrant County 9-1-1 District for Aerial Imagery Project
- 8. Recess to executive/closed session pursuant to the following part(s) of the Texas Open Meetings Act Government Code Ch. 551, for the following purposes:**
 - Section 551.071 – Consultation with attorney on legal aspects of contracts or deliberation on a matter in which the Board of Directors seeks the advice of its attorney about contemplated litigation or on a matter in which the duty of the attorney to the governmental body under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Open Meetings Act.
 - Section 551.076 – Deliberation regarding security devices, security software or security audits

9. Return to Open Session for Possible Action on Items Deliberated on in Executive/Closed Session

Reconvene in open session for possible further discussion and possible action on items deliberated in executive session related to legal aspects of contacts or security devices, software or audits.

10. Action Items, cont.

- a. Discussion and possible action on vote to authorize the Chief Appraiser to negotiate and enter into a contract with a CAMA vendor.
- b. Discuss and take possible action to direct the Chief Appraiser to consider a property's previous property appraisals, settlements, and reductions in value when subsequently appraising that same property's property value
- c. Discuss and take possible action to direct the Chief Appraiser on the frequency of appraising properties within the parameters allowed by state law.
- d. Discuss and take possible action to freeze residential property values for Tax Year 2025 at current property values, except for new construction.
- e. Discuss and take possible action regarding an Appraisal District policy that sets appraisal caps or thresholds of five percent on properties, absent clear and convincing evidence or other possible limitations, for Tax Year 2026 and subsequent years.

11. Propose Future Agenda Items; Set Next Meeting Date; Adjourn

Next meeting date: 8:00 AM Friday, August 9, 2024, at Tarrant Appraisal District, 2500 Handley-Ederville Rd, Fort Worth, Texas

Please submit requested agenda items to the Chairman & Chief Appraiser by email no later than 8-days (to allow time for draft process and posting) prior to next scheduled meeting.

Joe Don Bobbitt
Executive Director/Chief Appraiser

The public is invited to address the Board during the Public Comments period under Agenda Item 5 regarding any item on the Agenda and other issues under the Board's jurisdiction. During the Public Comments period, the Chairman will allow each speaker three minutes but may expand the time as needed if doing so will not interfere with the Board's completing its business and adjourning its meeting at a reasonable time. The Board may refuse to hear comments on subjects not reasonably related to items on the Agenda, to policies and procedures of Tarrant Appraisal District or Tarrant Appraisal Review Board, or to other issues under the Board's jurisdiction. The Board may not respond to comments regarding items not on the Agenda.

**Chief Appraiser Report :
Discussion of 2024 Appraisal Roll Certification Status**

Taxing Unit	Taxing Unit Total		Total Filed Protested			ARB Active		
	Accts	Accts \$\$	Accts	Accts \$\$	%	Accts	Accts \$\$	%
ARLINGTON	367,222	\$ 53,031,531,398	31,243	\$ 22,471,108,034	42.37	2,083	\$ 1,214,213,161	2.29
AZLE	6,195	\$ 1,546,791,929	1,439	\$ 524,189,083	33.89	360	\$ 67,277,629	4.35
BEDFORD	17,681	\$ 7,245,756,754	3,856	\$ 3,228,777,454	44.56	246	\$ 119,185,167	1.64
BENBROOK	42,357	\$ 3,862,647,295	2,393	\$ 1,454,732,339	37.66	182	\$ 151,888,294	3.93
BLUE MOUND	953	\$ 306,072,869	144	\$ 126,093,106	41.20	12	\$ 10,454,419	3.42
BURLESON	17,280	\$ 1,199,716,267	911	\$ 426,609,415	35.56	84	\$ 31,915,563	2.66
COLLEYVILLE	12,327	\$ 9,576,861,851	3,979	\$ 3,719,728,797	38.84	259	\$ 246,040,947	2.57
CROWLEY	27,722	\$ 2,224,257,966	2,707	\$ 782,800,858	35.19	389	\$ 65,550,747	2.95
DALWORTHINGTON GARDENS	6,350	\$ 636,830,360	426	\$ 259,866,361	40.81	40	\$ 16,751,805	2.63
EDGECLIFF VILLAGE	7,826	\$ 420,397,602	234	\$ 67,492,957	16.05	24	\$ 5,674,954	1.35
EULESS	31,540	\$ 8,623,052,036	4,208	\$ 4,533,790,154	52.58	342	\$ 379,752,888	4.40
EVERMAN	7,562	\$ 452,774,598	574	\$ 110,251,915	24.35	262	\$ 31,683,707	7.00
FLOWER MOUND	820	\$ 658,609,366	297	\$ 418,789,714	63.59	79	\$ 25,918,113	3.94
FOREST HILL	14,637	\$ 1,255,565,549	951	\$ 305,832,331	24.36	149	\$ 29,609,754	2.36
FORT WORTH	718,473	\$ 141,898,431,072	85,104	\$ 62,316,061,628	43.92	9,983	\$ 5,389,800,100	3.80
GRAND PRAIRIE	38,956	\$ 13,670,514,393	5,178	\$ 6,203,092,545	45.38	432	\$ 702,879,758	5.14
GRAPEVINE	27,469	\$ 16,224,383,630	5,091	\$ 7,595,098,810	46.81	479	\$ 451,905,408	2.79
HALTOM CITY	59,726	\$ 5,065,715,691	3,119	\$ 1,987,768,558	39.24	275	\$ 124,310,151	2.45
HASLET	12,470	\$ 2,443,502,994	1,006	\$ 1,123,987,899	46.00	64	\$ 321,639,006	13.16
HURST	17,782	\$ 5,480,810,001	3,121	\$ 2,198,749,461	40.12	229	\$ 114,509,154	2.09
KELLER	17,814	\$ 11,171,114,233	5,872	\$ 4,461,198,271	39.94	308	\$ 272,389,131	2.44
KENNEDALE	44,897	\$ 1,403,139,809	1,186	\$ 528,955,733	37.70	110	\$ 54,027,339	3.85
LAKE WORTH	12,729	\$ 854,574,969	587	\$ 431,305,007	50.47	88	\$ 29,103,263	3.41
LAKESIDE	2,653	\$ 288,542,897	215	\$ 73,577,061	25.50	25	\$ 7,013,375	2.43
MANSFIELD	50,121	\$ 12,316,723,306	7,804	\$ 5,438,086,969	44.15	913	\$ 499,875,865	4.06
N RICHLAND HILLS	63,260	\$ 11,178,929,445	6,991	\$ 4,635,728,370	41.47	418	\$ 230,618,266	2.06
PANTEGO	10,651	\$ 526,204,627	398	\$ 241,671,467	45.93	44	\$ 17,216,078	3.27
PELICAN BAY	1,817	\$ 189,072,070	260	\$ 67,187,454	35.54	45	\$ 11,813,833	6.25
RENO	72	\$ 15,225,031	9	\$ 4,189,939	27.52	3	\$ 1,773,405	11.65
RICHLAND HILLS	11,090	\$ 1,145,165,314	895	\$ 420,366,480	36.71	72	\$ 28,639,530	2.50
RIVER OAKS	8,853	\$ 696,572,143	605	\$ 158,814,472	22.80	69	\$ 18,900,707	2.71
ROANOKE	32	\$ 180,332,874	7	\$ 143,180,694	79.40	2	\$ 82,427,860	45.71
SAGINAW	11,009	\$ 3,566,549,517	2,642	\$ 1,336,057,232	37.46	352	\$ 250,437,933	7.02
SANSOM PARK	7,358	\$ 414,398,470	283	\$ 124,286,782	29.99	50	\$ 13,599,493	3.28
SOUTHLAKE	13,731	\$ 15,820,728,699	4,830	\$ 7,486,821,518	47.32	430	\$ 677,107,916	4.28

Taxing Unit	Taxing Unit Total		Total Filed Protested			ARB Active		
	Accts	Accts \$\$	Accts	Accts \$\$	%	Accts	Accts \$\$	%
TROPHY CLUB	229	\$ 169,147,998	58	\$ 94,804,958	56.05	1	\$ 431,401	0.26
WATAUGA	20,582	\$ 2,548,634,300	2,400	\$ 840,628,929	32.98	143	\$ 57,042,562	2.24
WESTLAKE	1,510	\$ 3,264,231,077	589	\$ 1,877,456,292	57.52	113	\$ 293,695,999	9.00
WESTOVER HILLS	5,243	\$ 842,555,326	208	\$ 570,075,649	67.66	21	\$ 88,952,311	10.56
WESTWORTH VILLAGE	3,851	\$ 621,338,133	379	\$ 304,686,859	49.04	50	\$ 25,921,530	4.17
WHITE SETTLEMENT	12,423	\$ 1,872,675,425	1,377	\$ 708,895,266	37.85	112	\$ 76,828,204	4.10
ALEDO ISD	3,630	\$ 674,672,658	350	\$ 224,961,143	33.34	34	\$ 23,811,231	3.53
ARLINGTON ISD	315,785	\$ 51,828,390,980	25,790	\$ 23,378,526,894	45.11	1,844	\$ 1,629,163,805	3.14
AZLE ISD	26,620	\$ 3,803,143,726	2,964	\$ 1,183,820,776	31.13	585	\$ 157,091,222	4.13
BIRDVILLE ISD	149,851	\$ 20,065,057,365	12,768	\$ 8,340,825,126	41.57	874	\$ 436,055,445	2.17
BURLESON ISD	38,153	\$ 3,190,849,021	2,275	\$ 1,158,101,921	36.29	198	\$ 91,121,707	2.86
CARROLL ISD	14,220	\$ 16,763,386,441	5,108	\$ 7,853,143,564	46.85	500	\$ 804,238,462	4.80
CASTLEBERRY ISD	26,260	\$ 2,010,152,903	1,475	\$ 686,329,924	34.14	157	\$ 53,365,752	2.65
CROWLEY ISD	115,417	\$ 15,790,035,608	12,847	\$ 6,586,423,211	41.71	2,080	\$ 579,464,050	3.67
EAGLE MTN-SAGINAW ISD	72,164	\$ 23,278,006,391	15,063	\$ 8,212,029,257	35.28	2,082	\$ 857,806,032	3.69
EVERMAN ISD	34,803	\$ 3,339,745,601	3,099	\$ 1,223,734,217	36.64	1,146	\$ 150,998,143	4.52
FORT WORTH ISD	517,426	\$ 72,518,022,959	41,879	\$ 33,691,605,395	46.46	4,640	\$ 3,313,034,024	4.57
GODLEY ISD	780	\$ 184,063,747	126	\$ 82,672,174	44.91	53	\$ 43,807,896	23.80
GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILLE ISD	49,315	\$ 26,841,417,121	9,494	\$ 12,649,110,300	47.13	799	\$ 892,512,222	3.33
HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD	67,659	\$ 26,194,656,235	12,844	\$ 11,817,312,347	45.11	1,026	\$ 826,639,044	3.16
KELLER ISD	85,232	\$ 34,214,213,930	20,884	\$ 15,030,623,406	43.93	1,216	\$ 862,285,053	2.52
KENNEDALE ISD	54,733	\$ 3,001,628,485	2,395	\$ 1,075,897,497	35.84	167	\$ 53,211,288	1.77
LAKE WORTH ISD	24,814	\$ 2,081,510,594	1,273	\$ 1,137,357,345	54.64	150	\$ 62,592,054	3.01
LEWISVILLE ISD	792	\$ 658,404,395	269	\$ 418,588,937	63.58	55	\$ 22,204,113	3.37
MANSFIELD ISD	167,732	\$ 26,282,858,305	17,428	\$ 10,230,289,449	38.92	1,604	\$ 795,280,106	3.03
NORTHWEST ISD	84,038	\$ 19,595,042,648	9,276	\$ 7,204,920,729	36.77	869	\$ 997,087,822	5.09
WHITE SETTLEMENT ISD	26,655	\$ 4,803,651,193	3,902	\$ 1,729,057,334	35.99	349	\$ 169,693,515	3.53
EMERGENCY SVCS DIST #1	140,416	\$ 12,161,730,760	7,859	\$ 4,092,838,614	33.65	1,070	\$ 580,452,632	4.77
FRESH WATER SUPPLY DIST #1	15	\$ 256,542	0	\$ 0	0.00	0	\$ 0	0.00
TARRANT REGIONAL WATER DISTRICT	723,511	\$ 133,088,347,263	76,576	\$ 58,876,127,990	44.24	8,728	\$ 5,161,311,202	3.88
TARRANT COUNTY	1,876,079	\$ 357,118,910,306	201,509	\$ 153,915,330,946	43.10	20,428	\$ 12,821,462,986	3.59

Action Item 7(a): Action regarding potential amendment to managed print service agreement with Xerox to include an updated lease for new printer/copier equipment

Currently, TAD is engaged in a managed print service contract with Xerox. We are provided with the necessary ink, toner and maintenance for our printer fleet. The current fleet contains over 60 printers, many of which are either antiquated (some in service for 20 years) or not used. Further, there are nearly 30 different models of printers throughout the building. The age of the equipment and the large variety of machines leads to issues such as continued maintenance and down time, issues with part/toner replacements in a timely manner and overall inefficiency. The current agreement ends in February 2025. The monthly fee is based on a print allowance per page of both black & white and color documents, with differing overage charges added on. The fee covers service and supplies for the machines on hand. Currently, the average monthly spend is \$3,281.76 (\$2,760.00 monthly + average overage of \$521.76 monthly).

Xerox has proposed consolidating to a smaller overall footprint of printers (19) and reducing the number of model types in service (4). This will increase productivity with more advanced technology and less down time in waiting for replacement ink/toner. Further, the overall page allowance for both black & white and color documents will increase, and the overall cost will decrease. The proposal is to lease 11 new devices for 60 months (with service agreement included) and to maintain 8 of our newest current printers under a 24-month service agreement. TAD would be released from the remainder of the current contract and the new overall monthly cost would be \$2,870.85 – an average monthly savings of \$410.91.

Staff Recommendation:

Authorize the Chief Appraiser to enter into an updated contract with Xerox Corporation to include the lease/maintenance agreement for 11 new printers for 60 months and for a 24-month service agreement for 8 current printers at a total cost of \$2,870.85.

New Technology 60 Month Agreement

Solution Overview

The following provides a summary of the current technology opportunities discovered through an analysis provided by Xerox Business Solutions Southwest as well as the solution benefits provided by their recommended technology solution.

A. Current Technology Opportunities

- **Remove Device Redundancy & Old Printers:** The current printer fleet has several devices that are either close to end of life or unused.
- **Streamline supply management:** TAD currently orders and maintains supplies for almost 30 different models
- **Ensure High Productivity:** The workflow needs of the staff require robust devices that meet productivity expectations. Older devices tend to break down more and become difficult to source parts.
- **Remove Security Concerns:** Remove concerns over printing confidential documents
- **Reduce Budget Expenses:** Explore opportunities to reduce costs associated with copying/printing

B. Benefits of New Program with XBS SW

- Updates fleet with new multi-functional devices (MFD's) aligned to the volume and workflow needs of each department.
- The new MFD's will increase productivity by providing staff access additional resources to copy and scan.
- The new program will also leverage several existing newer or low volume devices
- Streamlines model types from 29 to 5 which will make supply management more efficient
- Contract incorporates the use of the PACE Cooperative Interlocal Contract
- The new program reduces budget expenses associated with copy/print technology
- Proven Xerox technology and ongoing account management will ensure continued satisfaction.

C. Financial Considerations

- **Current Monthly Expenses: \$ 3,281.76**
- **New Program Cost: \$ 2,870.75**
- **Annual Savings: \$ 410.91**

Action Item 7(b): Action regarding permission for Chief Appraiser to enter into an Interlocal Agreement with Tarrant County and Tarrant County 9-1-1 District for Aerial Imagery Project

Tarrant Appraisal District (TAD) and the Tarrant County 9-1-1 District (TC911) initially entered into an Interlocal Agreement (ILA) in December 2004 based on mutual interests in standard aerial imagery products and services. As orthogonal (directly above) and oblique (above at about a 45-degree angle) photography demand has increased (largely due to the efficiencies realized from imagery-assisted appraisal activities and emergency response), aerial imagery has become more readily available, of better quality and increasingly accurate. The original agreement established a 50/50 cost split between TAD and TC911. Since the original agreement, TC911's service area has grown beyond Tarrant County boundaries while TAD's is limited to the county line. Based on this, the split was adjusted to 56% for TC911 and 44% for TAD. This is also a benefit to TAD's taxing entity partners (which are entitled to full access to the imagery through our contractual language with the vendor). The current ILA ends at the end of 2024.

Recently, we have discussed with both TC911 and Tarrant County about a new Interlocal Agreement between the three entities. The new agreement would task the county with seeking and awarding a contract with a vendor for the service, rather than TAD. Further, the new split would have TC911 covering 50% of the cost, Tarrant County 25% and TAD the other 25%. Overall, this is a projected savings for TAD.

With this agreement in place, Tarrant County issues an RFP for potential vendors. Once proposals are submitted, both TAD and TC911 representatives will work with the County to review and choose a vendor. Subsequently, the contract will be subject to approval from each entity, including review by the TAD Board of Directors.

Staff Recommendation:

Authorize the Chief Appraiser to enter into an Interlocal Agreement with Tarrant County and Tarrant County 9-1-1 District for an Aerial Imagery Project

THE STATE OF TEXAS

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

COUNTY OF TARRANT

This Interlocal Agreement (“AGREEMENT”) is entered between Tarrant County, Texas (“COUNTY”), and the Tarrant County 9-1-1 District (“TC911”) and the Tarrant Appraisal District (“TAD”).

WHEREAS, the COUNTY, TC911 and TAD desire to procure vendor services related to **Oblique and Orthophotography Aerial Imagery** (“PROJECT”); and

WHEREAS, the TC911 and the TAD will work jointly with COUNTY to create a Request for Proposal (“RFP”) for Project services using COUNTY methodology; and

WHEREAS, the TC911 and the TAD will work cooperatively with COUNTY to evaluate vendor responses to the RFP; and

WHEREAS, the COUNTY will award the RFP to selected vendor (“VENDOR”), based on feedback and approval from COUNTY, the TC911 and the TAD; and

WHEREAS, the awarded vendor will invoice COUNTY, the TC911 and the TAD separately based on the below:

- COUNTY: 25% of annual total cost.
- TC911: 50% of annual total cost.
- TAD: 25% of annual total cost.

WHEREAS, the Interlocal Cooperation Act contained in Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code provides legal authority for the parties to enter into this AGREEMENT; and

WHEREAS, during the performance of the governmental functions and the payment for the performance of those governmental functions under this AGREEMENT, the parties will make the performance and payment from current revenues legally available to that party; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioners Court of the COUNTY, the TC911, and the TAD each make the following findings:

- a. This AGREEMENT serves the common interests of all three parties;
- b. This AGREEMENT will benefit the public;
- c. The division of costs fairly compensates all parties to this AGREEMENT; and
- d. The COUNTY, the TC911, and the TAD authorized their designated representative to sign this AGREEMENT; and

- e. All parties acknowledge that they are each a “governmental entity” and not a “business entity” as those terms are defined in Tex. Gov’t Code § 2252.908, and therefore, no disclosure of interested parties pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code Section 2252.908 is required.

5. PROJECT POINT OF CONTACT:

- 5.1 COUNTY: Ramon Campos
Information Technology Department
racampos@TarrantCountyTX.gov
(817) 212-7489
200 Taylor Street, 4th Floor
Fort Worth, TX 76196-0213

- 5.2 TC911: Phillip Rohrbough
Director of Geographic Information Systems
prohrbough@tc911.org
(817)-820-1184
2600 Airport Freeway
Fort Worth, TX 76111

- 5.3 TAD: Brian Lipka
Information Services Director
blipka@tad.org
817-595-6762
2500 Handley-Ederville Rd
Fort Worth, TX 76118

6. NO WAIVER OF IMMUNITY

This Agreement does not waive COUNTY rights under a legal theory of sovereign immunity. This Agreement does not waive the TC911 nor the TAD rights under a legal theory of sovereign immunity.

7. THIRD PARTY

This contract shall not be interpreted to inure to the benefit of a third party not a party to this contract. This contract may not be interpreted to waive any statutory or common law defense, immunity, including governmental and sovereign immunity, or any limitation of liability, responsibility, or damage of any party to this contract, party’s agent, or party’s employee, otherwise provided by law.

8. JOINT VENTURE AND AGENCY

The relationship between the parties to this Agreement does not create a partnership or joint venture between the parties. This Agreement does not appoint any party as agent for the other party.

9. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Agreement becomes effective when signed by the last party whose signing makes the Agreement fully executed.

10. TERM AND TERMINATION

TERM will continue from date of signature of last party to the date of RFP termination.

TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

Tim O'Hare
County Judge

Date: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM*

Criminal District Attorney's Office*

* By law, the Criminal District Attorney's Office may only approve contracts for its clients. We reviewed this document as to form from our client's legal perspective. Other parties may not rely on this approval. Instead those parties should seek contract review from independent counsel.

Tarrant County 9-1-1 District

Sherry Decker
Executive Director

Date: 6/20/2024

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY

Tarrant Appraisal District

Joe Don Bobbitt
Chief Appraiser

Date: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY

Action Item 10(a): Discussion and possible action on vote to authorize the Chief Appraiser to negotiate and enter into a contract with a CAMA vendor.

Staff has reviewed vendor proposals for a replacement CAMA software and sought input from both internal and external stakeholders. Based on the proposal scores and input from Board of Directors IT Committee, the Chief Appraiser desires to enter into a contract with True Prodigy for a five-year period.

	Tyler Tech		True Prodigy		GSA Corp		Harris Govern		Esri Canada	
Chapter Title Count	Count	Score	Count	Score	Count	Score	Count	Score	Count	Score
GENERAL SOFTWARE DESIGN DISTRICT	104	9.29	111	9.91	106	9.46	107	9.55	109	9.73
OPERATIONAL MASS APPRAISAL CAPABILITIES	405	7.79	454	8.73	503	9.67	464	8.92	482	9.27
PERFORMANCE GUIDELINES	354	13.18	371	13.81	379	14.11	374	13.92	355	13.21
7 IX.	5	10.71	7	15.00	7	15.00	7	15.00	3	6.43
Total this section	868	40.96	943	47.45	995	48.24	952	47.40	949	38.64

	Proposal Requirements	
Count	Score	Count
Cost no options	10	10
Full Feature Cost	\$ 2,980,000	\$ 1,237,000
one time	\$ 3,246,943	\$ 2,292,790
annual	\$ 2,425,000	\$ 1,225,000
one time	\$ 4,579,168	\$ 3,000,000
annual	\$ 6,384,745	\$ 1,928,535
Proposed solution implemented in an appraisal district with 200,000 or more parcels	10	10
Used the proposed solution to finish 3 or more appraisal cycles	10	10
Existing product used in Texas, Colorado, Florida, or Washington	10	10
Automatic dynamically scalable processing	10	10
points for cost over 5 years	5.00	2.50
5 year cost	\$ 9,165,000	\$ 14,710,893
Total Score all sections	85.96	89.95
		85.74
		67.40
		88.64

	Critical Feature Scores and Counts	
Count	Score	Count
Missing critical Feature Score	816	74
10	217	159
9	7	4
8	0	0
7	2	4
6	0	0
5	7	2
4	20	12
3	3	1
2	28	19
1	17	36
0	31	28
Included critical feature score	503	1120
		957

Missing critical Feature Score
 10
 9
 8
 7
 6
 5
 4
 3
 2
 1
 0

High priority critical feature count for each of the levels shown to the right, 10 being most critical and 1 being minor.

This section only scores features which were not available from one or more vendors.

It is the staff's opinion that Harris Govern and Tyler Technology do not currently have a cloud based solution that is capable of meeting our implementation timeline and should not be considered at this time. The remaining vendors are prioritized based on product maturity in the following order: True Prodigy, GSA, ESRI

Action Item 10(b): Discuss and take possible action to direct the Chief Appraiser to consider a property's previous property appraisals, settlements, and reductions in value when subsequently appraising that same property's property value

Action Item 10(c): Discuss and take possible action to direct the Chief Appraiser on the frequency of appraising properties within the parameters allowed by state law.

Action Item 10(d): Discuss and take possible action to freeze residential property values for Tax Year 2025 at current property values, except for new construction.

Action Item 10(e): Discuss and take possible action regarding an Appraisal District policy that sets appraisal caps or thresholds of five percent on properties, absent clear and convincing evidence or other possible limitations, for Tax Year 2026 and subsequent years.